Interview Summary	10/777,950	RODRIGUES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William V. Gilbert	3635	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):		
(1) <u>William V. Gilbert</u> .	(3) <u>T. Rodrigues</u> .		
(2) <u>R. Lin (Reg. No. 51,098)</u> .	(4) <u>P. Braginsky</u> .		
Date of Interview: 09 February 2009.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>53</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Bondoc (U.S. Patent No. 6,105,329).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>discussion to claim around the prior art of record. In particular the shape of the corner to correspond with the notch, the shading features and product-by-process.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/William V Gilbert/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)